Good morning, all –

Hope this finds you well. Per your request at the first public hearing, please see written comments, below.

Section 4: Set-Aside Categories

4.1: Qualified Nonprofit- added language about required capacity, independence and activities of the nonprofit organization

Comment: Non-profit developers already face a number of challenges in real estate development and finance, compared to their for-profit counterparts. As such, why was the scoring in the “Qualified Nonprofit” set-aside category reduced from 15% to 12.25%?

Section 5: Threshold

5.1 (H)(4) Demonstrated Ability to Obtain Funding – an application will fail threshold if 15% or more of the non-IHCDA sources are not yet awarded

Comment: Reword to: An application will fail threshold if not more than 5% of non-IHCDA sources are identified as supported by, such documentation as a Letter of Interest, Conditional Commitment letter, Term Sheets, or other forms of written project support.

5.4 (F) Minimum Accessibility Requirements (removed requirement) because the sizes are arbitrary and can be supported by local code or other regulatory land uses

Comment: Thank you for your explanation

6.4 (C) Unit Production in Areas Underserved by 9% LIHTC Program – reduced from 14 points to 6

Comment: If the area is underserved, what is the logic behind reducing rather than increasing the points?

6.5 Other – Unique Features

Question: What are examples of unique features in awarded projects? Interested in a follow-up email or sidebar conversation.

Thanks in advance.

Renita Rosa, MPA

Sr. Multifamily Project  Manager

The Housing Partnership, Inc

Phone: 502-814-2711

Cell: 502-804-7216

Mail: 1512 Crums Lane, Suite 401, Louisville KY, 40216
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